A SYNCHRONOUS PRIMARY-SIDE DIMMING CONTROLLER FOR COLD-CATHODE FLUORESCENT LAMP BALLAST

Jenn-Jong Shieh

Key words: modeling, cold-cathode fluorescent lamp, dimming control.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a rectified quasi-sinusoidal pulse width modulation (RQSPWM) controller is first proposed for the cold-cathode fluorescent lamp (CCFL) ballast to achieve a synchronous primary-side dimming control. It is seen that, with the novel controller, not only the lamp flickering problem and the thermometer effect can be eliminated but also the useful photo-coupler in secondary side can be avoided to reduce cost. Then, small signal models of the CCFL ballast and the proposed RQSPWM dimming controller are derived to analyze the performance of the ballast system and help corroborate hardware loop measurements. Finally, a prototype is constructed for verifying the effectiveness of the proposed closed-loop dimming control strategy.

INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of portable devices, such as laptops, notebook computers and personal digital assistants (PDA) are developed fast recently, which places an even increasing demand on display technology [2-18]. The liquid crystal display (LCD) with cold-cathode fluorescent lamp (CCFL) back lighting satisfies the requirements on display performance, size and efficiency best. However, the CCFL is inherently a complex transducer and its characteristic is seriously dependent on gas elements, temperature, lamp size, driving waveform, lamp current and its neighboring components [7]. Generally, the current source push-pull topology with the Royer inverter can be realized as the driver of CCFL [2, 12]. When dimming capability, normally a very desirable feature for variable applications, is required, a current-fed buck-derived prestige converter can be adopted directly [5]. Unfortunately, a lot of problems such as instability in control, luminance loss, flickering and severe electromagnetic interference (EMI) could be caused. Lin et al. proposed a direct closed-loop dimming control with the Royer circuit to avoid the flickering phenomenon and suppress the EMI [10]. Since the feedback loop without an extra desired compensator, some disadvantages, e.g., limited bandwidth, high maximum overshoot which could hurt the CCFL, and narrow dimming control range for environmental backlight regulation that could lead to instability control, exist in this ballast. Although the asymmetrical pulse width modulation with series resonant inverter can provide a wider dimming control and easier in designing the filter for EMI by the duty ratio control [13], however, these merits are obtained at the expense of an increased complexity in design and analysis. A closed-loop feedback charge-pump controller with the Royer inverter with a view to achieve wide-range control was also presented by Hsieh et al. [6]. Nevertheless, derivation of the corresponding mathematical model of the ballast and the related subjects in synchronous processes of PWM controller and the integration of these into the ballast are not covered.

In this paper, a rather simple closed-loop-based synchronous primary-side dimming controller for the CCFL ballast is proposed to overcome the above-mentioned problems. Contents of the following sections are outlined as follows. The description of the operation principle of the proposed ballast will be given in Section 2. In Section 3, the modeling for the proposed rectified quasi-sinusoidal pulse width modulation (RQSPWM) CCFL ballast is made. A prototype hardware circuit is constructed and experimental results are presented for illustration in Section 4. Some conclusions are offered in Section 5 and guidelines for selecting the LC parameters are provided in the Appendix.

CIRCUIT DESCRIPTION AND OPERATION

Figure 1 shows the proposed closed-loop compensator-based synchronous primary-side dimming controller for the CCFL ballast. To protect circuit components, particularly $S_1$ and $S_2$, from turn-on and
turn-off transients, Zener diodes $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are adopted. The bias current driving $S_1$ and $S_2$ in conjunction with inductor $L$ allows current to flow through the primary winding. Because of using resistor $R_1$ coupled to connect with transistor $S_1$, as a result, switch $S_1$ will be turned on before transistor $S_2$ at the starting. As current begins flowing through transistor $S_1$, most of the current in inductor $L$ flows in primary winding $N_{1a}$. The current in winding $N_{1b}$ causes the flux density within the core of transformer to increase so a voltage will be induced in bias-winding $N_3$ and $S_1$ transistor will be kept saturated. As the flux gets saturated, the induced voltage on bias-winding $N_3$ will be reversed which in turns drives transistor $S_2$ into saturation and allows current to flow through the collector of transistor $S_2$ and primary winding $N_{1b}$. Thus, the Royer inverter circuit will continue the self excitation in this way. Typical waveforms in this circuit are shown in Figure 2 for reference.

Assume that the parasitic losses are neglected, and then the input power will be equal to the lamp power, i.e.

$$v_{G(avg)} i_{L(avg)} = v_{lamp(rms)} i_{lamp(rms)} \tag{1}$$

Since the voltage of the secondary winding is a quasi-sinusoidal waveform, the average input average voltage $v_{G(avg)}$ can be derived [1] as

$$v_{G(avg)} = \frac{N_1}{N_2} \sqrt{T} v_{out(rms)}, \ N_1 = N_{1a} = N_{1b} \tag{2}$$

Since the dynamic impedance of CCFL is negative after firing, a ballast capacitor $C_B$ must be added to stabilize the lamp current. Additionally, the reactance of $C_B$ must be designed to be greater than twice the CCFL resistance in practical application [7]. However, the larger the $C_B$, the larger size of the ballast is.

Trade-off the above conditions together with an extra 25% margin, one can choose

$$v_{CB(rms)} = 2.5 v_{lamp(rms)} \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, from Fig. 1 one can see that

$$i_{L(avg)} = I_{Rs} \text{ and } v_{out(rms)} = \sqrt{v_{CB(rms)}^2 + v_{lamp(rms)}^2}.$$ Based on these results and substituting (2) and (3) into (1), one can obtain

$$I_{Rs} = \frac{K_I}{I_{ref}} v_{lamp(rms)}, \ K_I = \frac{N_1}{N_2} \pi \sqrt{29} \tag{4}$$

It is obvious that, from (4), $i_{lamp(rms)}$ is proportional to $I_{Rs}$. Hence, the dimming control can be accomplished by sensing the current flowing through the sensing resistor, $R_s$, on the primary side and a compensator together with a repetitive waveform $v_X$, which is proportional to $v_A$, can be adopted to achieve $i_{lamp(rms)} = I_{ref}$ by controlling the duty cycle of Buck switch $S_B$. Notice that not only the waveform of $v_A$ is with double resonant frequency of the Royer inverter $\omega_s$ but also approximately resembles a rectified quasi-sinusoidal waveform.

In addition, the resulting RQSPWM switching frequency of $S_B$ is synchronous to $\omega_s$ that will effectively eliminate the lamp flickering problem encountered in the conven-
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**Fig. 1.** The proposed CCFL ballast.

**Fig. 2.** Typical waveforms in this circuit.
J.J. Shieh: A Synchronous Primary-Side Dimming Controller for Cold-Cathode Fluorescent

tional one. Furthermore, since \( S_B \) is controlled by directly feeding back the voltage across \( R_s \), the proposed controller without using any photocoupler, rectifiers and/or filters, and what is more, the feedback loop is not only more stable than the conventional ballast but also with less cost.

**MODELING AND CONTROL OF THE PROPOSED CCFL BALLAST**

The small-signal model of a system is a rather useful model to analyze the performance of dynamic behavior before their controller is implemented. The power stage of the CCFL ballast and the proposed RQSPWM controller in Figure 1 are linearized and perturbed to achieve their small signal models. Based on the models, the compensator in feedback loops can be properly designed or adjusted to achieve the desired steady-state and transient specifications.

1. **Power stage**

As an illustration, Figure 3 shows the simplified parallel equivalent circuit of the power stage of Figure 1 viewed from the secondary side. For reference, the relationships among \( v_X, d, v_G \) and \( v_G' \) are shown in Figure 4. From Figure 3, one can obtain the corresponding state equations as follows.

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} i_L' \\ v_p' \\ i_p' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{R_s'}{L} & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{C_p} & -\frac{1}{R_p} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{L_p} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_L' \\ v_p' \\ i_p' \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} v_G' \\ L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
L_p = N^2 L_m
\]

\[
C_p = \frac{4C_R}{N^2} + \frac{C_R}{1 - \omega_s^2 C_R R_{lamp}^2}
\]

\[
R_p = \frac{1}{1 - \omega_s^2 C_R R_{lamp}^2} - R_{lamp}
\]

\[
L' = N^2 L
\]

\[
R'_s = N^2 R_s
\]

in which \( R_{lamp} \) represents the steady-state lamp resistance, \( N = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \) and \( \omega_s = \frac{2\pi}{T_s} \).

The input voltage \( v_G \) can be represented by Fourier series with the fundamental frequency of \( \omega_s \). Since all the harmonics above the fundamental component will be effectively attenuated, hence only the fundamental component needs to be considered. The fundamental sinusoidal component is given as follows.

\[
v_s = \frac{4}{\pi} (Nv_{in}) \sin \left( \frac{\omega_s}{L} d \right) \sin \omega_s t
\]

To obtain the corresponding small-signal model,
one can adopt the “extended describing function technique” [19] approach, namely let
\[ f = f_c \cos \omega \tau + f_q \sin \omega \tau \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)
where
\[ f \in \{ i_L, v_p, i_p \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)
\[ f_d \in \{ i_{Ld}, v_{pd}, i_{pd} \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)
\[ f_q \in \{ i_{Lq}, v_{pq}, i_{pq} \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

From (12) if one decomposes \( f \) into sine and cosine components, \( f_d \) and \( f_q \) amplitudes can be assumed to consist of a steady-state component together with small variations. Hence, one can define the following perturbation equations:
\[ f_d = F_d + f_d \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)
\[ f_q = F_q + f_q \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)
\[ V_G = V_{G0} + N V_{in} + N \hat{V}_{in} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)
\[ d = D + \hat{d} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

By substituting (11) and (16)-(19) into (5) and using the harmonic balance procedure and linearizing these equations [19], one can obtain the following DC model and small-signal model.

**DC model:**
\[ 0 = A_0 X_0 + B_0 U_0, \quad V_{R1} = C_0 X_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)
where
\[ A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -R_c & -\omega_s & -1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \omega_s & -R_c & 0 & -1/L & 0 & 0 \\ 1/C_p & 0 & -1/C_p R_p & -\omega_s & -1/C_p & 0 \\ 0 & 1/C_p & \omega_s & -1/C_p R_p & 0 & -1/C_p \\ 0 & 0 & -1/L_p & 0 & 0 & -\omega_s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/L_p & \omega_s & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)
\[ X_0 = [U_{Ld}, I_{Lq}, V_{pd}, V_{pq}, I_{pd}, I_{pq}]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)
\[ B_0 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)
\[ U_0 = \frac{4}{\pi} N V_{in} \sin \left( \frac{\omega_0}{2} D \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)
\[ C_0 = R_c [1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

**Small signal model:**
\[ \dot{x} = Ax + B \hat{v}_{in} + E \hat{d} + F \hat{\omega}_s \cdot \hat{v}_R = Cx \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)
where
\[ \dot{x} = [i_{Ld}, i_{Lq}, \hat{v}_{pd}, \hat{v}_{pq}, i_{pd}, i_{pq}]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)
\[ A = A_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)
\[ B = \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} D \right) B_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)
\[ C = C_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)
\[ E = \frac{4}{\pi} N V_{in} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} D \right) [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)
\[ F = [-I_{Lq}, I_{Ld}, -V_{pd}, V_{pq}, -I_{pd}, I_{pq}]^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Taking the Laplace transformation of (26) one can obtain the signal \( v_{R(s)} \) (which denotes the equivalent lamp RMS current) as follows.
\[ v_{R(s)}(s) = R_c i_{Ld}(s) + R_c i_{Lq}(s) \]
\[ \approx R_c F_{Ld}(s) \hat{d}(s) + R_c F_{Lq}(s) \hat{d}(s) \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

### 2. The RQSPWM controller

In the proposed RQSPWM controller, the control voltage \( v_c \), which is the output of the error amplifier, is compared with a rectified quasi-sinusoidal waveform as shown in Figure 2, to achieve the following duty ratio.
\[ d = \frac{\hat{d}}{\hat{v}_c} = 1 - \frac{\sin^{-1} \frac{v_c}{K_X v_m}}{\pi} \quad \frac{v_c}{K_X v_m} < 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

To obtain the theoretical transfer function of the \( F_{Lq} \) waveform \( \hat{v}_c \), one can take the differential of (34) as follows:
\[ \hat{d} = \frac{\partial d}{\partial \hat{v}_m} \hat{v}_m + \frac{\partial d}{\partial v_c} \hat{v}_c \]
Since $\hat{V}_m$ is proportional to $i_{lamp}$, (35) implies small variations of lamp current $i_{lamp}$ can be automatically compensated by the proposed dimming control. Certainly, a wide dimming range can be easily provided for environmental backlight regulation.

In summary, from the above procedure, one can obtain the corresponding block diagram as shown in Figure 5. Based on Figure 5, one can check the stability of the closed loop system and simulate and analyze the transient behavior of the ballast system.

**SOME EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

To illustrate the significance and facilitate the understanding of the theoretical described in previous sections, a prototype with the following parameters is constructed.

- $V_{in}$: 10–14.4 (typical value) ~22 V,
- $S_R$: RFP15P50 (15 A, 50 V, 0.15 $\Omega$), $S_1$ and $S_2$: HA3669A ($h_{FE, \text{min}} = 240$, $V_{BE, \text{cut-off}} = 0.55$ V, $V_{BE, \text{sat}} = 0.9$ V),
- Transformer (Ferrite core, $N_1$: $N_2$: $N_3$ = 12: 1800: 3, $L_m = 20$ $\mu$H), $R_1 = 5.1$ K$\Omega$, $L = 150$ $\mu$H (MPPcore), $C_B = 22$ pF, $C_R = 150$ nF, $R_S = 0.5$ $\Omega$.
- CCFL:
  - Size: 18 mm $\phi \times 292$ mm,
  - Maximum dissipation power $P_{CCFL, \text{max}}$: 5 W,
  - Maximum open output voltage $V_{out, \text{rms, max}}$: 1,800 V,
  - Starting voltage $V_{start, \text{rms}}$: 1,400 V,
  - Operating frequency: $f_s = 45$ KHz.

For reference, critical values of $L$, $C_B$ and $C_R$ of (A1), (A2) and (A3) and $L_m$ (A4), namely 112.3 $\mu$H, 14.7 pF, 116 nF and 20.2 $\mu$H, respectively are also calculated. It is seen that the chosen values indeed satisfy the above bounds. It is also important to note that the larger the $C_R$ is, the more sinusoidal the waveforms are and the better the lamp life cycle is, but the copper losses of the primary windings of the transformer and the size of the ballast are larger. Hence, the trade-off between (A3) and (A4) is a prerequisite for practical applications. To explore the characteristics of the proposed ballast, the waveforms of $v_A$, $v_{CE1}$, $v_{out}$ and $i_{lamp}$ are recorded separately. Figures 6 and 7 show the measured results with two different $I_{ref}$ under the typical input voltage. From Figures 6 and 7, one can see that not only the output lamp voltage and lamp current waveforms are much closer to pure sinusoidal waveform, but also the shape, frequency and magnitude of waveforms $v_A$, $v_{CE1}$, $v_{out}$ and $i_{lamp}$ are in good agreement with the expected results.

**CONCLUSION**

To achieve wide range dimming control, suppress the lamp flickering problem and thermometer effect and stabilize the dynamic feedback regulator for lamp luminance in uniform distribution, a new synchronous primary-side dimming controller for the CCFL electronic ballast is proposed. Not only the extended describing function technique is successfully used to simplify
greatly the modeling process without sacrificing the accuracy within the valid frequency range, but also the steady-state and small-signal analyses are derived. Some design guidelines for selecting the power parameters are also described briefly in Appendix. The validity of the proposed CCFL electronic ballast has been verified on an experimental prototype.
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APPENDIXES

Some guidelines for selecting the LC parameters are provided below.

A.1 Storage inductor $L$

To prevent the Buck converter from being operated in discontinuous conduction mode (DCM), the ripple current of the current $i_L$ should be much less than the averaged dc current, say 15% of the maximum DC current here. Hence, the $L$ can then be estimated as follows.

$$L \geq t_{off,\ max} \frac{V_G(avg, \ max)}{0.15i_L(avg, \ max)} - t_{off,\ max} = (1 - \frac{V_G(avg, \ max)}{V_{in,\ max}}) \frac{T_s}{2}$$

where $t_{off,\ max}$ denotes the maximum off-time for the $S_B$.

A.2 Ballast capacitance $C_B$

Since $v_{CB(rms)} = 2.5v_{lamp(rms)}$ is adopted here and the smaller the lamp currents is, the higher the $R_{lamp}$ is. Hence

$$C_B \geq \frac{1}{2.5\omega R_{lamp,\ min}}$$

$$= \frac{i_{lamp,\ max}}{2.5\omega R_{CCFL,\ max}}$$

where $P_{CCFL,\ max}$ denotes the maximum dissipation power of the CCFL.
A.3 Resonant capacitance $C_R$ and magnetizing inductance $L_m$

To keep the life cycle of the CCFL and ensure that for entire dimming range the lamp current has a good performance, a sinusoidal voltage waveform will be provided. In other words, the characteristic roots of Fig. 3 should be properly designed to guarantee complex conjugate pairs. Thus,

$$C_R > \frac{C_B N^2}{8} \left\{ \frac{2 - \omega_r C_B R_{lamp}}{1 - \frac{\omega_r^2 C_B^2}{8 R_{lamp}^2}} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

$$K_I = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2g}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where $\omega_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_p C_p}}$.
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