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ABSTRACT

The developing mixed convection heat transfer in inclined rectangular ducts with wall transpiration effects has been investigated numerically in detail. The three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations and energy equation are solved simultaneously with the vorticity-velocity method. For a given combination of governing parameters wall Reynolds number, Re_w, aspect ratio γ, modified Rayleigh number, Ra*, and mixed convection parameter, Ω, the solution is evaluated by a marching technique. The effects of wall transpiration and the inclination angle on the distributions of the bulk fluid temperature, the local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number are emphasized in detail. Both conditions of uniform heat flux and wall temperature have been analyzed. Results indicate that increasing inclination angle contributes more buoyancy convection in axial direction and results in higher fRe and Nu. The heat transfer of suction is larger than that of injection. However, the behavior of friction factor shows the opposite trend.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mixed convection flows arise in many transport processes in natural and engineering devices. Atmospheric boundary layer flow, heat exchangers, solar collectors, nuclear reactors, and electronic equipments are some examples. Such a process occurs when the effect of the buoyancy force in forced convection or the effect of forced flow in free convection becomes significant. In the study of internal flows of mixed convection heat transfer, the interactions of thermal and hydrodynamic development become fairly complicated. For vertical ducts, the gravity force acts in the main flow direction, and there will be no secondary flow in the cross section. As for horizontal ducts, the buoyancy force is normal to the main flow direction and induces secondary flows in the cross plane. However, buoyancy force acts in both main flow and the cross-stream direction in inclined ducts. Many works have been investigated on mixed heat transfer in laminar or turbulent flows in vertical and horizontal rectangular ducts [3-5, 7, 10-13, 23-24]. However, different orientations of the channel are able to induce different kinds of heat buoyant flows which enhance the heat transfer in different manners. Yan [25] has studied numerically on the transport phenomena of mixed convection heat and mass transfer in an inclined rectangular duct. He found that the buoyancy forces distort the velocity, temperature and concentration distributions. Huang and Lin [6] investigated the transient mixed convection air flow in a bottom heated inclined rectangular duct. Attention was particularly paid to delineate the effects of the duct inclination on the flow transition with heat transfer only. Recently, the investigation of mixed convection heat transfer enhancement through film evaporation in inclined square ducts has been numerically examined by Jang et al. [9]. They found that friction factor, heat and mass transfer are affected considerably by the inclination angle of the duct, especially for a lower inclination angle.

The fluid flow in the channel with porous wall has long been investigated in many engineering applications. The study of steady flow in a straight channel with porous walls could be traced back to Berman’s work [1]. In this study, he gave a series solution for the laminar two-dimensional flow between two parallel porous plane walls driven by uniform injection and suction. Terrill [21, 22] gave an exact series solution for the fully-developed laminar flow in a pipe of circular cross section with porous wall driven by a spatially variable although time independent suction and/or injection. Studies on developing flow in porous-walled ducts with suction and injection effects were carried out by Raithby and Knudsen [17] and Sorour et al. [20]. The thermal entrance heat transfer with fully-developed velocity distributions in porous ducts was carried out by Pederson and Kinney [15] and
Raithby [16]. Later, Yan and his colleague [26-28] investigated effects of wall transpiration on mixed convection heat transfer with rotating channel or rotating disks. Recently, Oyewola [14] experimentally studied wall suction effect on a turbulent flow. He found that effect of suction is significant on skewness than on flatness factor in the near-wall region. Ishak et al. [8] numerically investigated the effects of transpiration on the steady mixed convection flow over a vertical slender cylinder. They discovered that suction delays the boundary separation, while injection accelerates it. Erdoğan and İmrak [2] examined the effects of the side walls on the flow in ducts with suction and injection. However, it is a non-Newtonian fluid flow.

It is noted that the study of developing mixed convection flow in inclined ducts with transpiration is useful in practical sense, but it has not received enough attention and the studies of developing mixed convection flow in inclined ducts with transpiration have never been investigated. The aim of this study is to investigate the transpiration effects on the developing mixed convection flow in inclined rectangular ducts.

II. ANALYSIS

1. Problem Statement

The geometry of this problem as schematically shown in Fig. 1 is a rectangular duct with inclination angle $\Theta$, width $a$, and height $b$. The velocity components in $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions are denoted as $u$, $v$, and $w$, respectively. A uniform axial velocity $w_o$ and a uniform temperature $T_o$ are imposed at the inlet $z = 0$. Although practical flows are often turbulent, the entering upward flow is assumed to be steady and laminar. The temperature of the injected or suctioned fluid is the same as that of the duct wall. The walls are imposed uniform and constant heat flux (UHF) or temperature (UWT), $T_w$. The viscous dissipations and compression effect in the energy equation are neglected since Mach number is low. The fluid thermophysical properties are taken to be constant except for the density variation in the buoyancy terms of the $y$- and $z$-direction momentum equations. The Boussinesq approximation is employed for the thermal buoyancy effect.

2. Governing Equations

With descriptions of the problem and the assumptions above, the governing equations which are those of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. Introducing the dimensionless parameters in the following:

$$D_e = A/s \quad X = x/De \quad Y = y/De \quad Z = z/(De \cdot Re)$$

$$Z^* = Z/Pr \quad U = uDe/v \quad V = vDe/v \quad W = w/w_o$$

$$\bar{P} = \bar{p}/(\rho_o w_o^2) \quad P^* = \bar{p}/(\rho_o w_o^2/De^2) \quad \gamma = a/b$$

$$Re = w_o D_e/v \quad Re_w = v_o D_e/v \quad Ra = Pr \cdot Gr$$

The non-dimensional governing equations can be formulated as follows:

Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Momentum equations of $X$, $Y$, $Z$ directions

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} = - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} + U \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z} = - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{Ra \cdot \cos \Theta}{Pr}$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} + U \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = - \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial Z} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{Ra \cdot \cos \Theta}{Pr}$$
Energy equation

\[
U \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Z} = \frac{1}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial Y^2} \right)
\]  
(6)

The non-dimensional axial vorticity is introduced as

\[
\xi = \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial X}
\]  
(7)

The axial vorticity transport equation can then be derived through operation of (3) and (4) as follows.

\[
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial Y} + W \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial Z} + \xi \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Z} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial X} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Z} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial Y^2} \left( \frac{Ra \cos \Theta}{Pr} \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}
\]  
(8)

The continuity equation can be reformulated as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Y \partial Z}
\]  
(9)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial Y^2} = -\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial X} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial X \partial Z}
\]  
(10)

In this study, an additional equation is necessary to solve the pressure gradient term in the axial momentum equation. The overall mass balance equation at each axial location is utilized to serve this purpose and expressed as the following:

\[
\bar{W} = 1 - 2Re_w \left( \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{\gamma} \right) Z
\]  
(11)

The wall Reynolds number Re_w is defined based on the injection or suction velocity \( v_{in} \). The value of Re_w is positive for wall suction and negative for wall injection.

3. Boundary Conditions

The corresponding boundary conditions for the inlet and the side walls are:

At the entrance \((Z = 0)\):

\[
W = 1; \ U = V = \xi = 0, \ \theta = 0
\]  
(12)

On the left wall \((X = 0)\):

\[
W = V = 0, \ U = -Re_w, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} = -1 \quad \text{(for UHF)}
\]  
\[
\theta = 1 \quad \text{(for UWT)}
\]  
(13)

On the right wall \((X = (1 + \gamma)/2)\):

\[
W = V = 0, \ U = Re_w, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} = 1 \quad \text{(for UHF)}
\]  
\[
\theta = 1 \quad \text{(for UWT)}
\]  
(14)

On the bottom wall \((Y = 0)\):

\[
W = U = 0, \ V = -Re_w, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} = -1 \quad \text{(for UHF)}
\]  
\[
\theta = 1 \quad \text{(for UWT)}
\]  
(15)

On the top wall \((Y = (1 + \gamma)/2)\):

\[
W = U = 0, \ V = Re_w, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} = 1 \quad \text{(for UHF)}
\]  
\[
\theta = 1 \quad \text{(for UWT)}
\]  
(16)

The governing equations contain dimensionless parameters \( \Omega, Ra', \gamma, \) and \( Pr \), as defined in (2) The value of \( \Omega \) is a mixed convection parameter, i.e. the ratio of Raleigh number \( Ra \) to the Reynolds number \( Re \), and modified by an inclination angle factor \( \sin \Theta \). In addition, \( Ra' = Ra \cos \Theta \) is modified Rayleigh number. The inclination angle does not appear explicitly with the introduction of the independent parameters \( \Omega \) and \( Ra' \). Apparently, for a horizontal duct,

\[
\Theta = 0, \ \sin \Theta = 0, \ Ra' = Ra, \ \Omega = 0
\]  
(17)

while for a vertical duct,

\[
\Theta = \pi / 2, \ \sin \Theta = 1, \ Ra' = 0, \ \Omega = Ra / Re
\]  
(18)

After obtaining the developing velocity and temperature fields along the axial direction of the rectangular duct, the local friction factor and Nusselt numbers are major parameters of practical interest for the study of mixed convection heat transfer. According to usual definitions, the expression for the product of the circumferentially averaged friction factor and Reynolds number are expressed as

\[
f \ Re = -2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{wall}}
\]  
(19)

where the overbar represents the circumferential average value and \( n \) denotes the dimensionless coordinate normal to the duct wall.

The local averaged Nusselt number on the duct wall can be written as

\[
Nu = \frac{1}{\theta_n - \theta_b} \quad \text{for UHF}
\]  
(20)

\[
Nu = \frac{\left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial n_{wall}} \right)}{1 - \theta_b} \quad \text{for UWT}
\]  
(21)

where the over bar means the average value around the pe-
In the above equations, the bulk fluid temperature $\theta_b$ is defined as

$$\theta_b = \frac{\int_0^{Z^+} \int_0^{\gamma^+} \theta WdX dY}{\int_0^{Z^+} \int_0^{\gamma^+} WdX dY} \tag{22}$$

### III. SOLUTION METHOD

The equations for the unknown $U, V, W, \theta,$ and $d\bar{P}/dZ$ are coupled, and the vorticity-velocity method for three-dimensional parabolic flow [18] is employed to solve the governing equations. A marching technique based on the DuFort-Frankel scheme [19] is utilized to solve the fields for a given combination of parameters. The grid distributions were arranged to be uniform in the cross-sectional plane. To obtain accurate velocity and temperature fields in the entrance region, the grid in the axial direction is set non-uniform. The independence of the grid resolution of numerical results is checked with a numerical experiment. Various grid distributions in the cross-sectional plane $(M \times N)$ and axial step size $(\Delta Z^*)$ were set. There are four grid distributions tested in the analysis. They are $31 \times 31 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4), 51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4), 51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4),$ and $81 \times 81 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4),$ respectively. It is found that the deviations in local averaged Nusselt number $Nu$ calculated with $51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4)$ and $81 \times 81 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4)$ are always less than $2\%$. Furthermore, the deviations in $Nu$ calculated with $51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4)$ and $51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4)$ are also less than $2\%$. Therefore, the computations with grid distribution of $51 \times 51 (1 \times 10^5-2 \times 10^4)$ are considered to be sufficiently accurate to describe the flow and heat transfer in an inclined rectangular duct. The local Nusselt number and friction factor were found to agree within $2\%$. The above program tests indicate that the adopted solution methods are suitable for the present study.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study are presented for air flowing in an inclined rectangular duct over a certain range of governing parameters. The Prandtl number is set to be 0.7. The wall Reynolds number varies from -2 (injection) to 2 (suction), and the aspect ratio is set to be 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, respectively. The modified Rayleigh number varies from $0$ to $2 \times 10^5$ and the mixed convection parameter is set to be 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200. The typical case is set $\gamma = 1, \Omega = 100$ and $Ra^* = 5 \times 10^7$. The bulk temperature, circumferentially averaged wall temperature, local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number are determined from the calculated velocity and temperature fields. Both UHF and UWT cases were examined.

1. Uniform Heat Flux Case

Figure 2 presents the effects of wall transpiration on axial distributions of bulk temperature and averaged wall temperature in an inclined rectangular duct. The modified Raleigh number is $5 \times 10^7$. The data without buoyancy effects (dashed curves) are also presented for comparison. Apparently, $\theta_b$ without considering buoyancy effect is higher than that with buoyancy effect. The buoyancy effect on $\theta_b$ depends on the transpiration condition. It is also noted that the buoyancy effect is negligible near the entrance region. It is observed that $\theta_b$ without considering buoyancy effect is higher than that with buoyancy effect for suction case ($Re_w > 0$), while in the case of wall injection ($Re_w < 0$), the trend is reversed. This is because the buoyancy induced secondary flow carried the heat to and out of the fluid with injection and suction conditions. It is also found that $\theta_b$ and $\bar{\theta}_w$ decrease with increasing $Re_w$. This indicates that transpiration flow rate raises $\theta_b$ and $\bar{\theta}_w$ for injection and lowers $\theta_b$ and $\bar{\theta}_w$ for suction. Since the fluid is heated when entering through the wall, higher injection rate raises bulk temperature and by turn result in higher wall temperature to maintain uniform heat flux. Hence higher wall temperature distribution is found for higher injection rate. For
the case of wall suction \((\text{Re}_w > 0)\), the trend is reversed. Higher suction rate causes lower \(\theta_b\) and \(\theta_w\) in the entrance region. But in the further downstream region, transverse conduction balances the suction thermal flow and gives rise to more rapid temperature rise. Hence the crossover of curves is found in both plots. Additionally, buoyancy effect on wall temperature is more apparent than that on bulk temperature.

The effects of \(\text{Re}_w\) on axial distributions of circumferentially averaged friction factor and Nusselt number are shown in Fig. 3. Again, the dashed lines denote the results without buoyancy. It is found that the buoyancy has negligible effect on the friction factor in the very inlet \((Z^* < 0.01)\). It then augments the friction factor in the further downstream region for both wall injection and suction. Apparently, the buoyancy effect enhances the heat transfer rate. It is also seen that the friction factor is increased by decreasing \(\text{Re}_w\). This suggests that mass injection results in higher friction factor since the mainstream is accelerated. For wall injection, the friction factor axially declines and reaches a local minimum due to the entrance effect and then rise in the further downstream region. For wall suction, the mass extraction causes further decay of \(\text{fRe}\) along the duct. It is noted that the Nusselt number is also increased with increasing \(\text{Re}_w\) in Fig. 3(b). This is due to the lower temperature difference between wall and bulk fluid for suction case. It is interesting to see that results with buoyancy effects show fluctuation along the duct. This is due to the secondary flow resulted from the inclination of the duct. It is also noticed that the fluctuation increases as \(\text{Re}_w\) increases. Obviously, the increasing fluctuation is resulted from the suction mass flow rate.

Figures 4 and 5 present the effects of wall transpiration on local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number, respectively, with different aspect ratios. In comparison with Fig. 3 for all aspect ratios, it is found that these profiles are similar with the same trend for various aspect ratios. In detail comparison of Figs. 3(a) and 4, it reveals that higher friction factor is obtained for larger \(\gamma\) as \(\gamma > 1\), and for smaller \(\gamma\) as \(\gamma < 1\). This indicates that a cross section close to square results in lower friction factor. Detailed inspection also shows that a slender channel \((\gamma < 1)\) give rise to slightly higher \(\text{fRe}\) than a wider one \((\gamma > 1)\). It suggests that slender channel results in thermal buoyancy flows stronger than wider one. In detail comparison of Figs. 3(b) and 5, lower Nusselt numbers can be achieved for cross section close to square. In addition, it is clear that the channel with slender or wider cross sections decreases the heat transfer fluctuation along the duct.
The axial distributions of local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number for various modified Rayleigh Numbers are shown in Fig. 6 with both injection and suction cases. According to the definition of modified Rayleigh number, the value of Ra$^*$ close to zero indicates highly forced convection and the buoyancy effect decreases as inclination angle increases. It is clearly seen that friction factor of the injection case is larger than that of the suction case for various Ra$^*$, while the heat transfer shows the opposite trend. This can be easily understood that the friction factor increases and heat transfer reduced as the cold working fluid injected into the inclined duct. It is found that the friction factor and heat transfer increases as the modified Rayleigh number increases as Z$^*$ < 0.06, for both injection and suction cases. It is also noted that Ra$^*$ has negligible effects for Z$^*$ < 0.01 and Ra$^*$ < 1 × 10$^4$. A further observation reveals that the curves branch out from those of forced convection for Ra$^*$ < 1 × 10$^5$. However, the friction factor for injection flow shows a local minimum, which is resulted from the combination of entrance and buoyancy effects. Fluctuations are observed for results with higher Ra$^*$ due to unstable secondary flow.

Effects of mixed convection parameter on axial distributions of local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number are presented in Fig. 7. As aforementioned, Ω is a function composed of Rayleigh number, Reynolds number, and inclination angle. It is observed from Fig. 7(a) that both friction factor and Nusselt number are increased with increasing Ω. This implies that increasing inclination angle contributes more buoyancy convection in axial direction and results in higher fRe and heat transfer. The value of zero indicates horizontal channel and give rise to the lowest fRe. The inclination angle enhances axial buoyancy and reduces the difference between wall temperature and bulk temperature. This by turn gradually augments Nusselt number along the duct. It is also noted that the fluctuation for Nusselt number is higher for larger Ω. Apparently, the fluctuation is resulted from the inclination angle.

2. Uniform Wall Temperature

In the following, the case of uniform wall temperature (UWT) is considered. Figure 8 shows the axial distributions of local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number with various Re$_m$. By comparing Fig. 8 with Fig. 3, it reveals that the trend is the same for Figs. 8 and 3. However, the friction factor is higher in the entrance region. This is due to more
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Significant buoyancy in UWT than in UHF. It is found in Fig. 8(b) that the Nusselt number decreases rapidly to a local minimum and reaches a maximum. The local minimum and maximum are because of the entrance effect and the local secondary flow intensity. The Nusselt number then decreases monotonically along the axial direction and converges together for various \( \text{Rew} \). The heat transfer decreases along the duct due to bulk temperature approaching wall temperature. In addition, the Nusselt number is larger than that for UHF case for the same \( \text{Rew} \). This is due to the fact that the difference between bulk temperature and wall temperature are higher for UWT case.

The effects of wall transpiration on axial distributions of local averaged \( \text{fRe} \) and Nusselt number for various aspect ratios are shown in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. It is interesting in Fig. 9 to see that a wider channel results in slight higher \( \text{fRe} \) than a slender one. This phenomenon is contrast to that in UHF case. It should be noted that the friction factor for UWT is higher than that for UHF in the entrance region. This is caused by the larger temperature difference, which resulted in larger buoyancy flow. In Fig. 10, it is observed that Nu is significantly affected by the orientation of the duct cross-section. Wider channels (\( \gamma > 1 \)) result in comparative smooth and lower heat transfer, while slender channels (\( \gamma < 1 \)) result in higher and fluctuating heat transfer. This is due to the fact that slender channels have stronger secondary flows by thermal

Fig. 7. Effect of modified convection parameter on (a) friction factor and, (b) the Nusselt number distributions along the axial direction with uniform heat flux case for injection and suction conditions.

Fig. 8. Effect of wall Reynolds number on the (a) friction factor and, (b) Nusselt number distributions along the axial direction with uniform wall temperature case for various aspect ratios.

Fig. 9. Effect of wall Reynolds number on the friction factor distributions along the axial direction with uniform wall temperature case for various aspect ratios.
buoyancy. In detailed comparison of Fig. 5(b) with Fig. 10(b), it is noted that the trends are opposite. It is believed that for the UHF cases, the average temperature difference between the duct wall temperature and bulk fluid temperature is increased to maintain the uniform wall heat flux.

Figure 11 describes the effects of modified Rayleigh number on the axial distributions of local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number. It is shown in Fig. 11(a) that the friction factor converges together at the downstream for different $Ra^-$. Also, the converged value for injection case is higher than that for suction case. However, there is no clear relation between $Ra^-$ and $fRe$ due to the interaction between inclination and buoyancy effect. As for the concern of heat transfer, it is discovered in Fig. 11(b) that the Nu increases with increasing $Ra^-$. It is observed that Nu with higher modified Rayleigh number presents higher branch out from the forced convection one in the region of $0.01 < Z^* < 0.06$. In addition, the influence of $Ra^-$ begins to decay in the region of $Z^* > 0.06$. In comparison with Fig. 6(b), Nu is larger for UWT in entrance region, while Nu is smaller in the downstream. This is because the temperature difference between wall and bulk fluid is higher at the entrance region for UWT case, while it becomes smaller at the down stream.

Figure 12 shows the effects of mixed convection parameter on axial distributions of local averaged friction factor and Nusselt number. According to the definition of $\Omega$, it increases as inclination angle increases. The mixed convection parameter has significant influence on the friction factor in the entrance region at $Z^* < 0.03$. It is observed that the friction factor increases with increasing $\Omega$. This indicates that the friction factor increases as inclination angle increases. However, it is also noted that the friction factor converges together at the downstream for different values of $\Omega$. This indicates that the effects of $\Omega$ are restricted in the entrance region for UWT since the thermal buoyancy decays along the duct. It is found in Fig. 12(b) that the influence of $\Omega$ on Nu is insignificant. However, it does show the trend that the Nu increases as $\Omega$ increases in the entrance region ($Z^* < 0.03$). In a closer observation for the position of local minimum, it depicts that the distance of local minimum from the inlet increases as $\Omega$ increases. The local minimum is the result of interaction between buoyancy and entrance effect. The buoyancy effect is higher for larger inclination angle, which causes a larger distance of local minimum from the inlet.

V. CONCLUSION

The effects of wall transpiration on mixed convective flow and heat transfer in inclined ducts have been studied numerically. A vorticity-velocity method and a marching technique
have been employed to solve the three dimensional problem. The effects of wall Reynolds number, aspect ratio, modified Raleigh number, and mixed convection factor on the averaged local friction factor and Nusselt number are systematically studied. Brief summaries of the major results are listed in the following:

1. For both UHF and UWT cases, the local averaged fRe is increased with decreasing Re_w; while the local averaged Nu is increased with increasing Re_w. Buoyancy effects raise the values of fRe and Nu. In UWT case, the augmentation on Nu mainly takes place in the entrance region.
2. As inclination angle increases, the buoyancy effect increases as well which causes an unstable secondary flow resulting the fluctuation in the distribution of friction factor and Nu.
3. In UHF case, a slender channel results in higher fRe than a wider channel. In UWT case, the situation is reversed.
4. In the UHF case, Nu is increased with increasing modified Raleigh number throughout channel; while in the UWT case, the effects of modified Raleigh number are mainly restricted in the entrance region.
5. Both fRe and Nu are increased with increasing mixed convection parameter throughout the channel in the UHF case. But in the UWT case, the augmentation on fRe and Nu is significant in the entrance region.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>cross-sectional area of inclined rectangular ducts (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b</td>
<td>width and height of inclined rectangular ducts, respectively (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_p</td>
<td>specific heat (Jkg⁻¹K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_e</td>
<td>equivalent hydraulic diameter, 2ab/(a + b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>friction factor, 2τ_w/ρ_o u_o²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>Grashof number, gβΔT D_e³/ν²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>circumferentially average heat transfer coefficient (Wm⁻²K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity (Wm⁻¹K⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M, N</td>
<td>number of the finite difference divisions in the X and Y directions, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>direction coordinate normal to the duct wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>cross-sectional mean pressure (kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p'</td>
<td>dimensionless cross-sectional mean pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>perturbation term about mean pressure (kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P'</td>
<td>dimensionless perturbation pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pe</td>
<td>Peclet number, Pr • Re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number, ν/α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>Rayleigh number, Pr • Gr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra'</td>
<td>modified Rayleigh number, Ra × cosθ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number, w_o D_e/ν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>perimeter of duct cross section, 2(a + b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U, V, W</td>
<td>dimensionless velocity components in the X, Y, and Z directions, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u, v, w</td>
<td>velocity components in the x, y, and z directions, respectively (ms⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w_o</td>
<td>uniform velocity at the inlet, m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X, Y, Z</td>
<td>dimensionless rectangular coordinate, X = x/D_e, Y = y/D_e, Z = z/(D_e • Re)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z*</td>
<td>dimensionless z-direction coordinate, z/(Pr • Re • D_e) = Z/Pr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>rectangular coordinate system (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols:

- α: thermal diffusivity (m²s⁻¹)
- β: coefficient of thermal expansion (1/K)
- γ: aspect ratio of a rectangular duct, b/a
- θ: dimensionless temperature, (T - T_o)/(T_w - T_o)
\[ \Theta \] inclination angle
\[ \nu \] kinematic viscosity (m\(^2\)/s)
\[ \rho \] density (kg/m\(^3\))
\[ \tau_w \] wall shear stress, kPa
\[ \Omega \] mixed convection parameter
\[ \xi \] dimensionless vorticity in the axial direction, \( \partial U/\partial Y - \partial V/\partial X \)
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