ABSTRACT

The U.S. Office of Naval Research has sponsored research in the area of marine organism acoustics for many years. The research program has included development of theoretical physics-based acoustic scattering models of single animals, high-resolution laboratory measurements of scattering by individual animals, and at-sea field experiments. The program has been focused on the backscattered signal, but has also included investigation of the forward-scattered signal. Downward-looking acoustic surveys using ship borne echosounders rely on the backscattered signal and provide non-invasive, non-destructive, rapid, high-resolution, large area survey capability compared to traditional net tows. Horizontally-oriented acoustic surveys provide the opportunity to investigate both the backscattered and forward-scattered signals from marine organisms and their impact on long-range acoustic propagation characteristics in the shallow water environment. Both cases require an understanding of the scattering characteristics of each type of organism and aggregation in the acoustic path as a function of acoustic frequency and orientation relative to the acoustic source and receiver. This overview of the fish-related acoustics research program includes representative examples which demonstrate the fundamental physical principles which have shaped the program.

I. INTRODUCTION

A primary motivation for investigation of acoustic scattering by marine organisms is fisheries management. The common objective is knowledge of the distribution, diversity and numerical density of organisms, as well as the ocean environment, habitat and physical processes. Acoustic surveys provide non-invasive, non-destructive, rapid, high-resolution, large area survey capability compared to traditional net tows; however, acoustic surveys provide acoustic data, not biological data. The acoustic backscattered signal must be interpreted for meaningful biological information, such as species identification and size class. This interpretation is difficult because the acoustic signal information is ambiguous, and the biological diversity in the ocean is overwhelming. The strategy is to exploit the amplitude, angle and frequency dependence of the scattered signal to infer the size, shape and material properties of individual scatterers. This assumes each class of organism has a unique “acoustic signature” whose scattering mechanisms can be identified, measured and modeled.

Theoretically, we seek the solution to the acoustic wave equation which governs the propagation of an acoustic wave through a medium with mass.

\[ \nabla^2 P = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} \]

The acoustic wave equation relates the divergence of the spatial gradient of the pressure field \( P \) to the time-evolving pressure field through the sound speed \( c \) of the medium. The acoustic pressure field is the deviation from the ambient pressure. Specifically, the far-field scattered pressure \( P_{\text{scat}} \) is expressed in terms of the incident pressure \( P_{\text{inc}} \), a phase term \( e^{ikr} \), the range \( r \) to account for spherical spreading, and the scattering amplitude \( f \), which is a complex function of the size, shape, material properties, orientation and acoustic frequency. The scattering characteristics of an object are fully described by the scattering amplitude, the accurate parameterization of which is the focus of scattering physics research.

\[ P_{\text{scat}} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} P_{\text{inc}} e^{ikr} f \]

While the U.S. Office of Naval Research has funded research into the acoustics of marine mammals, fish and zooplankton, this paper focuses on highlights of fish-related acoustics research. The examples included here are not exhaustive, but are chosen to demonstrate the fundamental physical principles which have shaped the program. The paper is organized as follows: Section II describes laboratory measurement and analysis, Section III illustrates modeling of acoustic scattering by individual organisms, Section IV outlines in situ measurements using broadband signals, and the last section provides a summary.
Fig. 1. Relative acoustic pressure as recorded along the length of a large-mouth bass showing relative scattering contributions by the whole fish, head, vertebral column, and swimbladder. This qualitative illustration of the dependence of fish target strength on changes in morphology was generated through the use, in a laboratory, of a focused array transducer system that scanned the length of the fish at 220 kHz in the near field. Adapted from Nash et al. [12].

II. LABORATORY MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS

Laboratory investigations have included biological and acoustical measurements using narrowband and broadband signals, and advanced signal processing and analysis.

1. Biological Measurement

Almost all fish have gas-filled swimbladders, which have been estimated to contribute approximately 90-95% of the scattering by single fish at broadside incidence [7]. Over the years, quite a bit of effort has been made to characterize the morphological features which drive the dominant scattering mechanisms of marine organisms, from traditional dissection, traditional x-rays [5], CAT (computerized axial tomography) scans, and phase-contrast x-rays [13]. These imaging techniques have been used to create digital representations of fish swimbladders and bodies to provide geometrical inputs to acoustic scattering models.

2. Acoustical Measurement

Laboratory measurements of acoustic scattering by fish have been made to ascertain the dominant scattering mechanisms. Laboratory measurements have facilitated the characterization and quantification of the acoustic scattering, in terms of angular dependence at different frequencies in the lateral and dorsal/ventral planes [8]. Nash et al. [12] demonstrated that the sum of the scattering by the parts does not equal the scattering by the whole, due to complex constructive and destructive interference occurring within the fish (Fig. 1). While these interference patterns are extremely complex, it is this very complexity that contains the biological information of interest.

3. Broadband Signals and Pulse Compression Processing

Since the interference patterns caused by two or more dominant scatterers in the organism vary with frequency, single frequency measurements are not sufficient to accurately characterize the scattering physics. Besides lack of spectral resolution, traditional target strength measurements at single frequencies ignore coherent (phase) information buried in the scattered signal. The use of broadband signals provides greater spectral resolution and allows time-domain processing with matched filters (“compressed pulse output”), which provides higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and greater spatial resolution.

Fig. 2 demonstrates this point. Panel (a) depicts a frequency-modulated (FM) chirp signal having a band of 40-100 kHz as seen in (b). The envelope of the auto-correlation (c) of the time-series signal (a) possesses greater SNR and range/time resolution, and is inversely proportional to the bandwidth (BW). Spectrum (d) of the gated compressed pulse signal, having a spectrum comparable to (b). From Stanton and Chu [15].
Material from the image is as follows:

Fig. 3. Envelope of normalized compressed pulse output (CPO) for a fish at approximately 30° from tail-on orientation in the lateral plane (single-ping realization). The time delay on the horizontal axis has been converted to distance (cm) to represent the spatial separation between the scattering features of the fish, using the equation: separation = (time delay)\*c/(2 \* cos(\(\beta\))), where \(\beta\) is the angle of orientation relative to the transducer beam and \(c\) is the speed of sound. The processing sidelobe is an artifact of the pulse compression process; therefore, any peak significantly higher than the processing sidelobe level represents a physical arrival from the fish. From Reeder et al. [13].

Fig. 4. Envelope of normalized CPO (“waterfall plot”) for a fish as a function of angle of orientation (single ping per orientation) and time delay converted to distance (along fish), depicting the changing CPO as the fish is rotated from tail-on to broadside orientation in the lateral plane. The conversion of time delay to distance (as in Fig. 3) allows better visualization of the scattering features and their changes as a function of angle of orientation. The conversion changes the appearance of the plot by making features parallel (or nearly so, as indicated by the two lines). Lines AB and A'B' correspond to echoes from the swimbladder and skull, respectively. Also, all values of the CPO are normalized by the same value (global maximum of data) so that directional effects can be better illustrated. From Reeder et al. [13].

III. MODELING THE PHYSICS OF ACOUSTIC SCATTERING

Physics-based modeling of the acoustic scattering by marine organisms is key to fully understanding the physical scattering mechanisms. To demonstrate this point, the target strength of a canonical gas-filled sphere is computed using a standard, exact spherical model [1] and plotted as a function of frequency in Fig. 5. The spectral shape contains three distinct features: (1) the target strength increases as the fourth power of frequency in the Rayleigh scattering region to the left of the peak; (2) the resonant peak; and (3) the target strength becomes a very weak function of frequency in the geometric
scattering region at frequencies above resonance. Marine organisms are far more complicated than this simple spherical target (as observed by Anderson [1] who developed this model first applied to scattering by marine organisms); however, while extremely complex, modeling the acoustic scattering which depends upon morphology (size and shape), orientation, material properties and frequency of marine organisms complements laboratory measurements and facilitates deeper understanding of the specific scattering mechanisms.

A significant amount of effort has gone into developing scattering models for various classes of marine organisms. A detailed review of the various acoustic scattering models developed for marine organisms is outside the scope of this paper, but is provided in Horne and Clay [10] and Horne and Jech [11]. Acoustic scattering models for fish funded by the Office of Naval Research include the Kirchoff-Ray-Mode model [3-5], the Fourier Matching Method [14] and a hybrid fish scattering model [2]. It is important to keep in mind that, as with all numerical modeling, every model has its specific region of accuracy and validity, as well as inherent limitations. The degree of disparity between predictions and data demonstrates the difficulty in modeling scattering by marine organisms, due to complex morphology, as well as inherent numerical challenges.

IV. IN SITU MEASUREMENT USING BROADBAND SIGNALS

Traditional fisheries acoustics surveys are carried out at narrowband frequencies in the geometric scattering region (e.g. 18, 38, 70, 120 and 200 kHz), and rely upon the relative amplitudes of the backscattered signal at the different narrowband frequencies. Acoustic scattering in the geometric scattering region is not sensitive to frequency (resulting in only small differences in target strength at the different narrowband frequencies) but very sensitive to changes in orientation (resulting in large variability in target strength for the same animal on short time scales). However, the resonance frequency of fish swimbladders is sensitive to size (and depth) but insensitive to orientation. Therefore, in the resonance frequency band, knowledge of the animal’s depth facilitates inversion for size, no matter the orientation.

The resonance frequency ($f_o$) of a non-spherical object was parameterized by Weston [18] in terms of shape ($\varepsilon$), depth ($d$) and size ($l$). For many species of adult swimbladdered fish such as Atlantic herring, the resonance frequency occurs in the 1-5 kHz band. In situ investigations of broadband scattering in this frequency band have been heretofore largely technology-limited; however, recent developments in acoustic technology make such investigations possible.

$$f_o = \frac{322\varepsilon(1+0.1d)^{5/6}}{l}$$  (3)

Fig. 6 shows volume scattering strength ($S_V$) in dB as a function of frequency, based upon in situ data collected during a recent experiment using a newly designed towbody equipped with broadband transducers mounted to be downward-looking [9, 16]. The towbody provides the capability to ‘fly’ at various depths to better localize the scatterers in the water column. The data demonstrate the expected spectral structure due to backscattering by swimbladdered fish in the shallow water waveguide in the 1-100 kHz band; they also demonstrate the expected difference in $S_V$ due to the presence of adult Atlantic herring in schools of differing numerical density (and not size), as confirmed by concurrent net tows.

The top panels of Fig. 7 show echograms from the same towbody [17]. The echogram on the left shows a near-bottom
aggregation of Atlantic herring during the day and the echogram on the right shows a near-surface aggregation during the night. These echograms are plots of compressed pulse output from the broadband signals, which possess a range resolution of 20 cm. The corresponding volume scattering strength beneath the left-hand echogram shows a single peak at approximately 3 kHz which corresponds to the resonance frequency of adult herring at depth as confirmed by net tows. The bi-modal distribution in the scattering strength plot on the right corresponds to adult herring (at ~1.5 kHz) and smaller fish (at ~4 kHz) in the same aggregation at a more shallow depth, again confirmed by net sampling. This demonstrates the capability to discriminate for size class (and by extension, species) by investigating the resonance frequencies of swimbladder-bearing fish, since the resonance frequency is sensitive to size (and depth) but not angle.

The orientation of the acoustic ensonification of the water column can also be horizontal, which provides a longer range, or larger area, coverage. However, it is important to recognize the challenges presented by longer range in situ measurements—investigators must deal with the multi-scale complexities of acoustic propagation in the shallow water waveguide, as well as the physics of multiple scattering, which can change dramatically with the aggregation’s changing position in the waveguide, numerical density distribution and morphology.

Horizontally-oriented acoustic systems also allow investigation and exploitation of the temporal and spectral dependences of the resonance response of various swimbladder-bearing fish. Fig. 8 shows the fluctuation in transmission loss (TL) as a function of frequency and time due to aggregations of sardines in the water column between an acoustic source and vertical line array receiver [6]. Several features are evident in Fig. 8: (1) a broad peak in TL in the 1.5-2.1 kHz band before sunrise, consistent with the swimbladder resonance frequency of dispersed adult sardines at 20 m water depth; (2) a strong frequency dependence in TL preceding and following sunrise, consistent with the descent of sardines from 20 m to 65 m depth (where their resonance frequency is 2.8 kHz) followed by school formation (which has a lower resonance frequency than individual sardines); (3) a broad peak in TL in the 1.8-2.4 kHz band following sunrise, consistent with schooling adult sardines at 65 m water depth.

V. CONCLUSION

Acoustic surveys of marine organisms provide non-invasive, non-destructive, rapid, high-resolution, large area survey capability compared to traditional net tows. However, the ambiguous acoustic data provided by acoustic surveys must be interpreted for meaningful biological parameters. The strategy is to exploit the amplitude, angle and frequency dependence of the scattered signal to infer the size, shape and material properties of individual scatterers. This paper has provided representative highlights from fish acoustics research funded by the U.S. Office of Naval Research which demonstrate the fundamental physical principles which have shaped the program. The program includes laboratory measurement and advanced analysis, modeling of acoustic scattering and in situ measurements using broadband signals and advanced analytical algorithms. Further analysis and modeling will continue to push the science forward to provide robust methods to extract meaningful biological information from acoustic backscattered signals.
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