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ABSTRACT

This study looks at the effects of job satisfaction, organizational commitment and individual factors on turnover intentions of construction engineers. It makes use of samples of the construction engineers from the engineering design department of Taiwanese engineering consultant companies. From the results of a logistic regression analysis, normative commitment as well as job satisfaction were found to significantly impact construction engineers’ turnover intentions.

I. INTRODUCTION

There are a lot of infrastructure and construction projects, like airports, harbors, bridges, tunnels, roadwork, and buildings, etc., to be undertaken to meet the requirements of the development of the country. The technical and contractual intricacy of today’s infrastructure and construction projects necessitates the appointment of competent Engineering Consultants (ECs) to preserve the rights and interests of the client [23, 26, 40, 51]. The duties undertaken by an engineering consultant company would typically include preliminary and feasibility studies, drawing up all detail plans, designs, specifications, forms of tender and conditions of contract for the work and possibly supervising the work of an appointed contractor. Consulting engineering firms employ professional engineers to offer services in engineering skills and knowledge. Firms usually work on a project-by-project basis but repeat clients are common. Usually smaller firms specialize in a single engineering discipline (e.g. structural, civil, mechanical, electrical, industrial) whereas the larger firms offer multi-disciplinary services [86].

In essence, what the consulting engineer does is place at the disposal of a client, on the basis of mutual trust, their technical knowledge, experience and ability, bound by a duty to safeguard the client’s interest while ensuring a sound engineering job at a minimum whole life cost [9, 73]. The construction industry faces many challenges. Many of these challenges arise through a need to maintain the skills and competitive workforce [83]. The cost of turnover to organizations can be high [22]. Given the knowledge-intensive nature of the construction industry and its relatively high labor costs in overall costs, turnover is an important issue for the engineering consultant industry. Construction engineers serving as knowledge employees play a critical role in directly delivering engineering quality as well as safety to owners. The engineering consultant companies in general invest significant resources in the recruiting, selecting and training of their construction engineers, seeking to maximize the quality of engineering service delivery.

Due to high work stress and an unstable working environment, the turnover of construction engineers is an important issue from a practical viewpoint. Surprisingly, however, this subject has tended to be neglected. Among various antecedents, job satisfaction and organizational commitment appear to be good predictors of turnover rates and these are the factors investigated here, together with the individual characteristics of construction engineers.

II. PREVIOUS WORK

1. Job Satisfaction

Employees’ job satisfaction is an important facet of human resource management (HRM) [1]. Job satisfaction can be conceived as an overall rating or as the sum of several discrete dimensions of job characteristics [71, 92, 95]. Job satisfaction may be defined as a pleasant or positive emotional state resulting from the satisfactory appraisal of one’s job or job experiences [58] or the degree of positive affects towards a job or its components, whereas the key attitude relates to employee behaviors, such as job performance and turnover [22]. In other words, job satisfaction represents an effective response to specific aspects of the job. This is determined by characteristics both of the individual and of the job and particularly how work is organized within the corporate work environment. Many research studies on the job satisfaction of construction workers were performed in the 1980s. Borcherding and Oglesby [17] studied the relationship between job satisfaction and construction productivity by in-depth interviews, but it...
was not based on empirical evidence and thus the validity of
some of their findings were questioned [31]. Bowen, et al. [18]
takes an empirical study of Job satisfaction of South African
quantity surveyors by web-based national questionnaire.
Shore and Martin [91] reported that high job satisfaction leads
to a high level of commitment among professionals. Huang, et al. [47] (2007) dissected engineers were more likely to have
lower job satisfaction under higher time-control or overall job
stress.

2. Organizational Commitment

There are many definitions about organizational commit-
ment. Organizational commitment represents how employees
feel toward the company or organization. In other words, or-
ganizational commitment is an effective response to the whole
organization [100]. Organizational commitment is the strength
of an individual’s identification with and involvement in an
organization [79]. Porter [76] saw it as the willingness of an
employee to exert high levels of effort on behalf of the or-
ganization, a strong desire to stay with the organization and
acceptance of its major goals and values. Sheldon [90] viewed
it as a positive evaluation of the organization and the intention
to work toward its goal. Kantor [50] defined it as the will-
ingness of social actors to give energy and loyalty to the or-
ganization. Hrebiniak and Allutto [46] considered it the un-
willingness to leave the organization for increased pay, status,
or professional freedom or for greater friendship between col-
leagues. Buchanan [20] employed it as a partisan, affective
attachment to the goals and values of an organization, to one’s
role in relation to goals and values and to the organization for
its own sake, apart from its purely instrumental worth. Or-
ganizational commitment has been consistently linked with
positive organizational outcomes, such as reduced turnover
and absenteeism and higher motivation and productivity [66].
Analytical techniques including factor analysis, correlation
coefficients and regression analysis and structural equation
modeling were applied by Leung and Chan [56] to investigate
the relationships among the factors of commitment in the
construction industry in Hong Kong and three forms of com-
mitment were discovered and named Affective commitment,
Continuous commitment and Normative commitment.

3. Turnover Model

Interest in explaining employee turnover has long been a
major concern of organizational scholars [27, 59, 80]. Turn-
over is represented as the degree of individuals to quit the
membership of a social system (e.g., a company) [15]. Turn-
over intention was conceived to be a conscious and deliberate
willingness to leave the organization [94]. Recently the liter-
atures on turnover have become voluminous and studies may
be categorized as two types [80]. First, there are the literatures
that explicitly indentify turnover as the dependent variable to
be explained [14, 19, 21, 25, 33, 34, 53, 55, 64, 74, 75, 78, 88,
89, 93]. Second, there are studies that treat turnover as a com-
ponent of some more general phenomenon or depict it as but
one of several dependent variables to be explained [6, 52, 54,
60, 62, 96]. Over the last 30 years, most research devoted to
predictive turnover intention has included individual job dis-
satisfaction as a primary catalyst for turnover [61, 82, 94]. On
the other hand, Organizational commitment is also a consistent
predictor of employee turnover [37, 49, 94] among the most
commonly proposed antecedents.

Individual studies have generally supported hypothesized
links between turnover intention and those variables [94]. Job
satisfaction and organizational commitment have invariably
been reported to be negatively related to intent to leave [7, 43]
and positively correlated with one another [16, 24, 32]. In
terms of their relative contributions to the turnover process,
there are three main theoretical perspectives [79, 94]. There
are the satisfaction-to-commitment-mediation model [16, 63,
69, 79, 81, 100], the commitment-to-satisfaction-mediation
model [11, 13, 72, 77, 85, 87] and the independent-effects
model [3, 57, 68, 97].

The satisfaction-to-commitment-mediation model postulates
that organizational commitment develops from job satisfaction,
such that commitment mediates the effects of satisfaction on
turnover variables. The commitment-to-satisfaction-mediation
model posits that organizational commitment engenders a
positive attitude toward the job and employee’s turnover
behavior depends upon the employee job satisfaction level.
The independent-effects model model holds that both job satisfaction
and organizational commitment contribute independently to
the turnover process. It hypothesizes that job satisfaction and
organizational commitment, though related, are distinct con-
structs implying no particular causality relationship between
them.

In addition to job satisfaction and organizational commit-
ment, several factors have been also used to examine their
contributions to turnover [99]. These factors include personal
and work environment characteristics such as age, gender,
education and organizational tenure. For exploratory purposes,
this study adopts the independent-effects model and incorpo-
rates employees’ individual characteristics to investigate their
relative impacts on turnover intentions.

III. RESEARCH METHOD

1. Measures

Given the considerable evidence that professional turnover
might be influenced by personnel characteristics, job satisfac-
tion and organization commitment, research was undertaken
to explore this issue. To facilitate the study objective and to
ensure reliability and validity in the research results, a ques-
tionnaire consisting of four parts was used to examine influ-
ences on construction engineer turnover.

Part 1 deals with the measurement of job satisfaction using
20 items based on the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire
(MSQ) short form developed by Weiss et al. [98]. The survey
instrument is widely used in research on job satisfaction [8, 12,
39, 41, 65].
Part 2 deals with the measurement of organizational commitment using 20 items from Mowday et al.’s. [70] Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ).

Part 3 deals with the measurement of turnover intentions, serving as the surrogate for turnover that was developed by Chen [22], using three issues, including: “I often think about leaving the job”; “I will not renew the contract when the current contract is due”; and “I am planning a job and life after leaving the job.”

A 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 for strongly dissatisfied (or disagree) to 6 for strongly satisfied (or agree) was used to measure the items of the first three parts.

Part 4 presents respondents’ demographic information including age, gender, education level, marital status, wages, organization tenure and job position.

The construction engineers employed by Taiwanese engineering consulting firms were interviewed through a convenient sampling method. The self-administered questionnaires were distributed to the construction engineers after ensuring their willingness to take part in this survey. The data collection was conducted from March to May 2009. A total of 900 questionnaires were distributed; 763 usable samples were obtained after deleting any incomplete questionnaires, resulting in a response rate of 84.78%.

The majority of respondents (87.29%) ranged from 26 years old to 50 years old. Respondents with a university degree or above constituted 43.32% of the sample, and 35.24% were unmarried. Respondents’ wages clustered at two levels: 47.12% were under NT$30,000 per month and 52.88% above NT$30,001 per month ($1 is roughly equivalent to NT$33). With respect to length of service; 50.13% had been with the company less than 7 years and 49.87% longer than 7 years. Respondents with a professional engineer license accounted for 32.07% of the sample.

2. Analysis

The effects of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on turnover intentions were studied in a sample of construction engineers. Data analysis occurred in two stages.

The first stage explored the internal structure of the job satisfaction and organizational commitment using factor analysis. The second stage examined relationships between construction engineers’ turnover intention and their perceptions of job satisfaction, organizational commitment and personal and work environment characteristics by logistic regression analysis.

Factor analysis, primary purpose of which is to define the underlying structure among the variables in the analysis, is a statistical approach that can be used to analyze interrelationships (correlations) among a large number of variables and to explain these variables in terms of their common underlying dimensions (factors). The objective is to find a way of condensing the information contained in a number of original variables into a smaller set of variates (factors) with minimal loss of information. By providing an empirical estimate of the structure of the variables considered, factor analysis becomes an objective basis for creating summed scales [35, 38]. The Cronbach’s α, which can measure how well a set of variables or items measures a single, unidimensional latent construct, was calculated to test the internal reliability for each of the multi-item factors in our questionnaire [4, 28, 29].

Logistic regression (sometimes called the logistic model or logit model) is usually used for prediction of the probability of occurrence of an event by fitting data to a logistic curve. It is a generalized linear model used for binomial regression. Like many forms of regression analysis, it makes use of several predictor variables that may be either numerical or categorical [2, 5, 10, 36, 42, 45]. Logistic regression analysis was undertaken in this study to determine the extent to which job satisfaction, organization commitment and individual characteristics variables predicted turnover intention.

### IV. RESULTS

Principal component factor analysis with a varimax rotation technique was used to generate the underlying factors of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Using an eigenvalue greater than 1.0 as the criterion, the factor analyses suggests that a four-factor solution explained 57.34% total variance for job satisfaction and a two-factor solution explained 63.22% total variance for organizational commitment. The Cronbach’s α values for all remaining factors are greater than 0.7, indicating high reliability.

Table 1 summarizes the factor analysis results. The four job satisfaction factors are “job satisfaction,” “pay and promotion satisfaction,” “supervision satisfaction,” and “self-achievement satisfaction.” The two organizational commitment factors are “affective commitment,” and “continuance commitment.”

Logistic regression was applied to survival data in the health sciences, originally [45]. It can enable the researcher to use regression models to predict the probability of a particular

### Table 1. Factor analyses of job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Variance explained (%)</th>
<th>Cronbach’s α</th>
<th>Factor mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pay and promotion satisfaction</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision satisfaction</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job-self satisfaction</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-achievement satisfaction</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective commitment</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>59.59</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance commitment</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Result of binary logistic regression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>s.e.</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-.206</td>
<td>.304</td>
<td>.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>-.209</td>
<td>.206</td>
<td>.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>-.185</td>
<td>.271</td>
<td>.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniority</td>
<td>-.253</td>
<td>.240</td>
<td>.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.223</td>
<td>1.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage</td>
<td>.249</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>1.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay and promotion satisfaction</td>
<td>.544**</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>1.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision satisfaction</td>
<td>-.183</td>
<td>.138</td>
<td>.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job-itsel satisfaction</td>
<td>-.080</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-achievement satisfaction</td>
<td>-.038</td>
<td>.203</td>
<td>.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective commitment</td>
<td>-1.117***</td>
<td>.237</td>
<td>.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance commitment</td>
<td>.339</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>1.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.407</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>4.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 Log-likelihood</td>
<td>665.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-squared</td>
<td>46.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d.f., p-value)</td>
<td>(12, 0.000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Age: ‘<=36’=0, ‘>36’=1; Education: ‘<=university’=0, ‘> university’=1; Salary: ‘<=30,000’=0, ‘>30,000’=1; Seniority: ‘<= 7 years’=0, ‘> 7 years’=1.

The quality of the national infrastructures and the construction projects are the important basis to get the high level of living of nationals. An engineering consultant company needs excellent engineers to take and to maintain the quality of the project and competitive edges in the engineering industry. If organizations are to effectively manage turnover of employees, they need to understand how to influence the reasons employees think about quitting [84]. A simple model of turnover intention prediction was developed and generally supported by a logistic regression analysis. The conclusions provided are listed below:

First, based on the knowledge-based, expertise-intensive and experience-oriented nature of the work environment characteristics, the real cost of the construction engineer turnover is very expensive, and more engineering companies are beginning to realize that this drain upon the resources and competitive capability of organizations minimized or eliminated in the competition-intensive engineering consultant service industry. Management interventions in the process could reduce turnover intention and stifle the motivation to quit.

Second, job satisfaction is divided into four separate factors: “job satisfaction,” “pay and promotion satisfaction,” “superior satisfaction,” and “self-achievement satisfaction.” The organizational commitment factors are separated into two factors: “affective commitment” and “continuance commitment.”

Third, the present model is designed to fill the gap of previous research in construction engineer turnover study. The results from our analysis revealed that “pay and promotion satisfaction” and “affective commitment” are major factors affecting construction engineers’ turnover intentions. It appears to be related to the individual’s perception of past and present aspects of one’s organization.

Fourth, this suggests that enhancements in job satisfaction and organizational commitment can be expected to reduce construction engineers’ intentions to quit. The “pay and promotion satisfaction” and “affective commitment” factors significantly influence the intention to leave the construction engineers, but the other factors are not significant. It is also shown that detailed analysis is needed to discover the specific phenomenon in different industries.

Finally, the model proposed in the study seems to be extendable to other types of organizations, and therefore appears to deserve additional testing and refinement by follow-up researchers.

2. Limitations

The following should be taken into consideration when interpreting the present findings:

First, Logistic regression analysis permits only weak evaluation of causal hypotheses. Logistic techniques do not prove causality. Rather, users of the logistic regression assume causality rather than prove causality [48]. Present findings, based on correlation data, do not allow strong causal interpretations.

Second, overestimation may have occurred in relations among satisfaction, commitment and intention/cognitions due to shared method variance and social desirability [30].

Third, the longitudinal study may be an appropriate method...
to examine causal relationships among the turnover intentions can be considered in further studies [84]. Mobely et al. [67] suggest that the predictive power of intent to leave statements should decay as the time period of the prediction increases. Hom and Griffith [44] also analyzed the lag effect on turnover.

Finally, only the most often-cited characteristics among turnover were investigated here, precluding more powerful and complex investigation of the turnover process.

REFERENCES