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ABSTRACT

This study describes the comprehensive characterization of the diverse water-based nanofluids involving aluminum oxide, titanium dioxide, and zinc oxide nanoparticles, which were prepared through the two-step synthesis method assisted by a microemulsion ultrasound skill with effects of both weight-percent concentration (wt.%) in the range of 1.0% and 5.0% and between 20 °C to 40 °C. The mean cluster size, zeta potential, pH value, viscosity, thermal conductivity, absorbance, light absorption, and electrical charge density of the various nanofluids were surveyed by relative experiments. The results showed that the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃, 2 wt.% TiO₂, and 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluids were preferentially prepared with a good suspension stability through four weeks. The thermal conductivity and the power generation increased with an increase in temperature. The present synthesis method is suitable for fabricating the metal oxide nanofluids at a temperature between 20 °C and 40 °C and a concentration of 1 wt.% to 5 wt.%.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the 20th century, after the launch of the suspending nanopowders within a liquid, nano liquid research has marked nanotechnology as the modern skill with broad applications in diagnosis, sensing, and therapeutic medicine, agriculture, textiles, and energy, etc. [1-5]. The nanofluid has various striking features such as the capacity to enhance thermal, magnetic, and electric performances of the base fluid. In recent times, metal oxide nanoparticles blended with base fluids have received much attention as the most promising alternatives to the existing working fluids [6-11]. Metal oxide nanofluids of suspended homogeneous metal oxide nanoparticles possess good thermal performance but poor suspension and stability, restricting its use, because of a strong size effect against thermal conductivity and fluid behavior. Manipulation of hydrodynamics, stochastic, electrostatic, and Van Der Waals’ forces altered the surface area of the nanoparticles and the collision frequency, which change the boiling heat transfer, thermal conductivity, and suspendability of the nanofluids. The major factors dominating the suspension and stability of the nanofluids are the particle size and geometry, concentration, surface obstruction, solution chemistry, and cohesion situation of nanoparticles within the nanofluids [12, 13].

The present study blended metal oxide nanoparticles directly into the aqueous solution to prepare the metal oxide nanofluids known as the immediate two-step synthesis method, which lead to nanoparticle clumping and reducing suspendability of nanofluids. The convenience of the immediate two-step synthesis method was that the granularity and concentration of the metal oxide nanofluid could be better governed based on the usages [14]. Some significant factors for assessing the suspendability of nanofluid include visual examination, pH value, zeta potential, and particle size distribution apparatus. Three following methods were employed to stabilize the suspended metal oxide nanoparticles in this study, wherein the pH value of the metal oxide nanofluid was transformed far away the isoelectric point (IEP) to avoid sedimentation, an ultrasonicator was utilized to disperse the nanoparticles, and a surfactant/dispersant was blended to elevate dispersion and suspension [15, 16]. The present study also adopted an emulsifying agent to improve the suspendability of the nanoparticles in the metal oxide nanofluid based on past studies.
[17-19]. Wang and Wang [17, 19] found that higher concentrations of the emulsifying agent decreased the zeta potential of the suspended nanoparticles and the thermal conductivity of the nanofluid. They obtained the best concentrations of aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) nanopowder, emulsifying agent, and dispersant operating at fixed 2 wt.% and temperature between 25 °C and 40 °C. The nanofluids displayed higher thermal conductivity compared to the base fluid, and an increase in thermal conductivity with an increase in temperature. The nanoparticles enhanced the heat transfer rate even at a small volume fraction [20-24].

Gan et al. [25] observed that the thermal conductivity of TiO₂ nanofluid increases by 7.28 % when it was prepared under optimum conditions, and this titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanofluid with the optimum thermal conductivity was used as the working fluid. Nadooshan [26] prepared and evaluated the thermal conductivity of zinc oxide (ZnO) nanofluid by a two-step method and a hot-wire skill pro instrument. The results revealed that the thermal conductivity of ZnO nanofluids significantly increases with increasing solid volume fraction at higher temperatures. Eventually, the present research investigated the thermophysical properties and thermoelectric generations of metal oxide nanofluids as working fluids and electrolytes, respectively, containing Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO nanoparticles blending aqueous solution. A microemulsion ultrasonic technique was utilized to prepare the metal oxide nanofluid. Wang et al. [27-30] exploited the Al₂O₃ nanofluid as an electrolyte compared with the other aqueous solutions based on the pH value, Zeta potential, viscosity, and thermal conductivity to indicate the most favorable stability, thermal conductivity, particle fraction, and stable current output. The present study intended to develop a suitable synthesis method for fabricating the metal oxide nanofluids at a temperature between 20 °C and 40 °C and a concentration of 1 wt.% to 5 wt.%.

II. METHODOLOGY

The nanofluids were prepared through the two-stage synthesis, via the measurement of different nanofluids’ thermoelectric properties, and the derivation of their empirical formulas. The nanoparticles and deionized water (DW) were proportioned and added into the interface agent to increase the suspension stability of the nanofluids, and the nanoparticle was dispersed in the solution with ultrasonic vibration technology. The condition of the nanofluid at different times and concentrations was detected by the instrument, and the empirical formulas of thermoelectric performance were derived through the intelligent dimensional analysis of the experimental data.

1. Nanofluid preparation

The current investigation employed three kinds of nanoparticles including aluminum oxide, titanium dioxide, and zinc oxide. The densities and mean radii of the individual Al₂O₃ (Yong-Zhen Technomaterial Co., Taiwan), TiO₂ (Evonik Degussa Co., Taiwan), and ZnO (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Taiwan) nanoparticles were respectively 3.97 g/cm³ and 12 nm, 3.90 g/cm³ and 21 nm, and 5.50 g/cm³ and 20 nm. The specific surface areas of Al₂O₃ and ZnO were greater than or equal to 100 m²/g, and 50 m²/g, respectively. The specific surface area of TiO₂ was 50±15 m²/g. Furthermore, the thermal conductivities and specific heats of the Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO nanoparticles were approximately 38 W/(m-K) and 0.78 kJ/(kg-K), 12 W/(m-K) and 0.52 kJ/(kg-K), and 21 W/(m-K) and 0.49 kJ/(kg-K), respectively. The SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) images of the prepared nanoparticles are demonstrated in Fig. 1.

Figure 2 presents the manufacturing process of these three nanofluids with different weight percent concentrations ranging from 1.0 wt.% to 5.0 wt.% A water-soluble dispersant labeled QF-DTK-190 (Yong-Zhen Technomaterial Co., Taiwan) was used for dispersing the Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO nanoparticles uniformly in the de-ionized water (DW) and its density, thermal conductivity, and pH value were approximately 1.0 g/cm³, 0.52 W/(m-K), and 6.5, respectively. The concentrations of the interface active agents and the oscillatory time of supersonic waves influenced the suspended stability and the powder sizes of Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO nanofluids. The emulsifying agent containing the non-ionic surfactants Tween#20, Tween#80, Span#20, and Span#80 all from First Chemical Co., Taiwan were incorporated to enhance the suspension stability of the nanofluids using ultrasonic vibration. Tween#80 (HLB = 15) and Span#20 (HLB = 8.6) were mixed and stirred at 400 rpm for 60 minutes into an HLB = 12 emulsifying agent and its density and thermal conductivity were approximately 1.05 g/cm³ and 0.175 W/(m-K), respectively.

Four experimental preparation instruments were used. An analytical balance (Shimadzu Co., Japan) with a maximum measurement value of 220 g and the lowest precision of 10⁻² g was adopted to gauge the slight weight of nanoparticles and interface active agent. An electromagnetic hot plate with a stirrer named PC-620D (Corning Co., USA) was employed for stirring the nanofluids between 60 and 1150 rpm at a maximum heating temperature of 550 °C. The emulsifying agent (HLB = 12) and
deionized water were heated and mixed by stirring at 80°C and 500 rpm for approximately 50 minutes. The samples were cooled naturally or by using a constant temperature bath at 25°C. A circulating water bath (He-Yu Technology Co., Taiwan) with a heating power of 1 kW, a frozen force of 1/3 HP, a volume capacity of 20 L, and the operating temperature between -20 to 100 °C was employed at a constant temperature to preserve the temperature of the nanofluids.

A supersonic homogenizer of ultrasonic 250 model (He-Yu Technology Co., Taiwan) was used to prepare the various Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO-nanoparticle concentrations of the nanofluids. The maximum power, supersonic frequency, and processing capacity of the ultrasonic 250 model applied were 250 W, 23 kHz, and 0.2 to 400 ml, respectively. These nanofluids were cooled to continue oscillation at a total oscillation time of approximately 60 minutes [17, 18]. The thermal conductivities and power densities of the various Al₂O₃, TiO₂, and ZnO nanofluids with different concentrations were analyzed, and changes were observed after restig. Afterward, the intelligent dimensional analysis was used to derive an empirical formula using the experimental data.

2. Nanofluid property test

These nanofluids testing instruments are shown in Fig. 3. Six thermal performances were assessed to measure and acquire for the suspendability and stability of these nanofluids with different concentrations including the grain size, thermal conductivity, zeta potential, pH value, viscosity, and absorbance. Besides, the micro-power generation characteristics in power generation facility of reduction-oxidation reaction were explored by the electrical performance detection of the metal oxide nanofluids as electrolytes to discuss the electrical properties involving the output current and the electric density. The detection steps for testing each thermoelectric property are discussed henceforth.

The suspendability and stability of the metal oxide nanoparticles within the nanofluid was based on the mean grain size. The grain size and zeta potential analyzer (Malvern Co., Switzerland) was used to observed the mean grain size of metal oxide powders to determine the influence of varying concentrations and oscillation times on grain size. The particle size analyzer was turned on and then set in the analyzer for measuring the particle size and zeta potential of metal oxide nanofluids. A portable thermal conductivity meter, KD2 (Decagon Devices Inc., USA) was adopted to survey the thermal conductivity coefficients of metal oxide nanofluids. The probe was immersed completely into the nanoliquid and left as such for two minutes to record the stable data. The operating environment of KD2 was -20 to 60 °C and its measuring range of thermal conductivity was 0.02 to 2 W/(m·K) with an accuracy of ±2.5%. The main principle of KD2 is based on the transient hot-wire theory derived from one-dimensional Fourier's law as mentioned in the equation (1).

\[ K = \frac{Q}{A(\tau_2 - \tau_1)} \left( \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \right) \]  

Where K is the thermal conductivity, Q is the heat flow, A is the area, T is the temperature, and τ is the time. When τ equals
zero, thermal equilibrium is achieved. The time changes from \( \tau_1 \) to \( \tau_2 \) and temperature changes from \( T_1 \) to \( T_2 \) as given one unit of the Q. During this thermodynamic process, the temperature increases or decreases after dominating to changes with thermal energy. Finally, the thermal conductivity \( K \) of metal oxide nanofluids can be estimated.

The zeta potential alters along with acid and alkali (pH) value and isoelectric points (IEPs), which is a critical factor for deciding the suspendability and stability of the metal oxide powders within the nanofluids. Scilicet zeta potential with the pH value of the metal oxide nanofluid was examined to confirm the presence of sufficient electrostatic repulsion between the metal oxide nanoparticles. The desktop pH meter (Metrohm company of Switzerland) was used to measure the pH value of nanofluids with different concentrations of surfactants and metal oxide nanoparticles, which could be compared with the surface potential to avoid the IEP of metal oxide nanofluids. Briefly, the pH meter was started and the initial value was adjusted by using the calibration solutions (pH) 3, 7, and 9. The probe was then rinsed with deionized water and dried carefully with a dry tissue. The probe was then placed in the solution and the instrument test was allowed to finish. The zeta potential of metal oxide nanofluids at an IEP has zero value. A spectrophotometer U-1900 (Hitachi Inc., Japan) was used to measure the absorbance of the metal oxide nanofluids at different weight concentrations and preservation times. The light absorbance was defined as the portion of light energy absorbed on the passage of light source through the metal oxide nanofluids. The absorbance value can judge the suspension property of the metal oxide nanofluids. The range of wavelength measurement by the U-1900 was between 190 nm and 1100 nm with a bandwidth of 1.5 nm and an error range of \( \pm 0.5 \) nm.

The viscosity of the fluid is also closely related to the suspension and stability and is a crucial factor for the thermoelectric performance of metal oxide nanofluids. High-viscosity fluids affect the Brownian movement of the metal oxide nanopowders in the liquid and easily cause aggregation and precipitation. Therefore, the viscosity of each metal oxide nanofluid was measured using a capillary viscosity meter, K698 (Cannon Inc., USA) based on the ASTM D445 standard test for the kinematic viscosity of transparent and opaque liquids, which has a repeatability of 0.11% in most situations. A rotor of K698 was selected for measuring low viscosity fluids, and the test solution was poured in a water tube at a constant temperature of 40 °C\( \pm 0.02 \) °C, at appropriately set rotation speed. The deviation of K698 was less than \( \pm 3\% \). Thermoelectric properties of the metal oxide nanofluids were measured by the battery framework as shown in figure 4. The battery tank was assessed for currents, voltages, and power outputs in different metal oxide solutions as the electrolytes in a battery cell through redox reactions exploited with red brass (cathode) and aluminum (anode) electrodes at different concentrations under distinct temperatures. Each electrode under the liquid surface has a surface area of about 1151.5 mm² for a reaction. These electrodes have a diameter of 17.8 mm and a length of 68 mm. The dimension of the battery tank is 69.9 mm x 48.8 mm x 30.3 mm. The electrolyte fixed at 50 ml was added into the battery tank. The electric charge density was adopted to appraise the power generation capacity of the metal oxide water-based nanofluids, which was calculated by the value of electric quantity divided by the electrode reaction area.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study explored the suspensibility and stability of deionized water (DW) as a solvent with the addition of emulsifier and dispersant into the metal oxide nanofluids and discussed the impacts of surfactant and ultrasonic oscillating time on the metal oxide nanofluids at varying concentrations of the solution. The detection range of the metal oxide nanofluids was as per earlier publications [17, 18]. The nanofluids precipitate particles in the static environment with time. Quantifications of mean grain size, pH value, density, zeta potential, viscosity, and absorbance were utilized to define the stability, suspensibility, and the better concentration of the metal oxide nanofluids. The concentrations of the metal oxide nanofluids were in the range of 1.0-5.0 wt.%. The experimental findings were employed in intelligent dimensional analysis to obtain the empirical formulas, which took into account the emulsifying agents.

To improve the suspension stability of the metal oxide nanofluids, the emulsifying agent (HLB =12) and dispersant were blended with nanofluids for expanding the thickness of the double dielectric layer and decreasing the surface tension on the surface of metal oxide nanoparticles. Figure 5 exhibits the average size of metal oxide nanofluids with or without surfactant.
Two layers comprising an emulsified bed and a sediment bed were observed in the metal oxide nanofluid after it was kept stable and motionless for a specific period. The mean grain size of nanofluid without surfactant increased and precipitated after one week of being motionless. Inversely, the metal oxide nanofluids with the surfactant suspended for a longer duration of 28 days above. Fig. 5(a) displayed that the titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanofluid without surfactant had obvious particle agglomeration after seven days. The mean size of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles increased from 47 nm to 556 nm. However, the particle agglomeration phenomenon of the nanofluid with 2 wt.\% surfactant added was relatively small from 53 nm to 78 nm within 35 days. Fig. 5(b) shows that the ZnO nanofluid without added surfactant had significant particle agglomeration because the density of ZnO is larger than that of TiO$_2$. The mean size of ZnO nanoparticles increased from 202 nm to 685 nm. However, the particle agglomeration phenomenon of the nanofluid containing 1 wt.\% surfactant was relatively small from 156 nm to 215 nm within 28 days. Most of the heavier and clustered metal oxide nanoparticles without surfactant effects precipitated and agglomerated to the bottom due to gravity.

In the present study, the ultrasonic microemulsification technology was used to force the dispersion of nanoparticles after coating by emulsification, which was a transient phenomenon. The oscillation time required to prepare nanofluid can be observed through the size of the nanoparticles, which affects the suspension of the nanoparticles and determines the effects of different metal oxide nanofluids and ultrasonic oscillation time on the nanoparticles size.

In the TiO$_2$ nanofluid shock time experiment, the mean grain size of the nanoparticles was 330 nm after only magnetic stirring. Nevertheless, the mean grain size of the nanoparticles was 72 nm and 57 nm after the ultrasonic shock of 30 minutes and 60 minutes, respectively. Further, the mean grain sizes of the nanoparticles were 55 nm and 50 nm after 90 minutes and 120 minutes, respectively. Figure 6(a) shows that the TiO$_2$ nanofluid had better nanoparticle size after 60 minutes of oscillation time. In the ZnO nanofluid shaking time experiment, the mean grain size of the nanoparticles was 388 nm after magnetic stirring. After ultrasonic vibration, the mean grain sizes were 195 nm, 166 nm, 152 nm, and 150 nm, after 30 minutes, 60 minutes, 90 minutes, and 120 minutes, respectively. The mean grain size of the ZnO nanoparticles had a tendency to shrink with the increase in the shaking time, however, the amplitude of shrinkage at 90 to 120 minutes shaking time was not significant as shown in Fig. 6(b).

Table 1 lists the mean grain diameter of the metal oxide nanoparticles used in the present study at rest for four weeks.
After keeping still for seven days, the mean grain size increased only slightly and no precipitation happened. For the Al₂O₃ nanofluid, the discriminations in mean grain size for the other specimen were minor except that the 1 wt.% specimen for which the mean grain size increased nearly 30 nm at two weeks. However, the best concentration of the Al₂O₃ was the 2 wt.%. The nanoparticle sizes of TiO₂ nanofluid reduced with an increase in concentration because of the isoelectric point (IEP). The surface potential of the 1 wt.% TiO₂ nanofluid was insufficient to cause nanoparticle agglomeration, and its mean grain size increased by 27 nm compared with those at other concentrations over 1 to 28 days. The mean grain size of the ZnO nanofluid increased with increasing concentration. From 1 to 28 days, the surface potential of 5 wt.% ZnO nanofluid was closer to the IEP so that the agglomeration was more acute with a total increase in sizes of 64 nm. The above outcomes signify a quicker precipitation rate of the metal oxide nanoparticles after staying motionless for a long term or centrifuged for metal oxide nanofluids. In fact, the mean grain size cannot exactly depict the precipitation of the metal oxide nanofluids. Intrinsically, observations with the naked eye and absorbance measurements had to be done in a supplement to decide the best suspendability and stability of the metal oxide nanofluids [17, 18].

The surface potential of the metal oxide nanofluids is in effect, the strength of mutual repulsion between these nanoparticles. The metal oxide nanofluids had an IEP at a specific pH, therefore, the surface potential of the metal oxide nanofluids at the IEP was zero resulting in the agglomeration and causing precipitation. The pH value and surface potential of the metal oxide nanofluids were detected regularly by the instrument to avoid approaching the IEP. In other words, the farther the pH value of the metal oxide nanofluids was from the IEP, the more net charge, at a faster electrophoresis speed, and the converse at a slower speed. Consequently, an appropriate pH value for preparing the metal oxide nanofluids should be selected to ensure a large difference in the charge of the nanoparticles, which is more conducive to the separation from each other. The zeta potential of the metal oxide nanofluids changed along with the weight concentrations in the present study and adding an emulsifying agent (pH = 6.5) decreased the zeta potential. The 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluids at pH 5.18 had rather well suspension stability [17, 18]. Figure 7(a) displays that the IEP of the TiO₂ nanofluids was nearly at pH 7.3. The pH value and surface potential of the TiO₂ nanofluids between 1.0 wt.% and 5.0 wt.% decreased with concentration, in a downward trend. The pH value of the 1.0 wt.% TiO₂ nanofluids was closer to their IEP so that the surface potential was insufficient resulting in more obvious agglomeration. The IEP of the ZnO nanofluids was around a pH of 9.2 as shown in figure 7(b). The pH value of the 5.0 wt.% ZnO nanofluids demonstrated significant precipitation. This was because the emulsion layer became thicker with reduced zeta potential due to the increase in emulsifier concentration. The pH values for the metal oxide nanofluids employed in the present study did not come closer to their IEPs.

Table 2 reveals that the thermal conductivity of the metal oxide fluids may not raise with the increase in the concentrations of the emulsifying agent. However, the thermal conductivities for the metal oxide nanofluids raised with an increase in temperature. This may be because the thermal conductivity of the emulsifying agent was 0.15 W/(m·K); while the emulsifying agent can overcome the metal oxide nanoparticles causing an increase in their surface tension so that they do not aggregate without affecting the thermal conductivity. Nevertheless, the existence of the dispersant and surfactant improves the suspension stability of the metal oxide nanoparticles in deionized water, thereby guiding more dispersion. The trend of the thermal conductivities over concentrations was the reverse of the trend of viscosity as shown in Fig. 8, implying that a rise in viscosity may lower the thermal conductivity of the metal oxide nanofluids. The dispersibility of the metal oxide nanofluids...
dropped with the increase in viscosity, which stalled the coagulation of the metal oxide nanoparticles in the deionized water over a long period because of the repulsive forces smaller than the attractive forces. The flow feature of a solid-liquid suspension depended on the hydrodynamic force acting on the surface of the solid particles. Figure 8 reveals that the viscosity of the metal oxide nanofluids increased with an increase in the concentration resulting from the increase in attractive forces among a large number of metal oxide nanoparticles. The reason was that the addition of the dispersant disturbed the surface charges of the metal oxide nanoparticles, and further reduced their surface tension [17, 18].

Table 3 presents the changes in absorbance over four weeks for the metal oxide nanofluids at different concentrations. The absorbance value is an important index to discuss the suspendability of the metal oxide nanofluids. The larger the absorbance value, the more light is blocked by the metal oxide nanoparticles when passing through the sample. While detecting the absorbance, the sample fluid should not be shaken violently and need to be static for a long term to avoid measurement errors. The experiment measured absorbance at 350 nm, 400 nm, and 450 nm wavelengths. On the first day, higher concentrations gave larger absorbance values because the metal oxide nanopowders were more uniformly suspended all over the nanofluids and impeded more light. The absorbance value progressively lowered in the subsequent days because the metal oxide nanoparticles in the nanofluids slowly aggregated and the grain size increased with time causing the light to cross over more easily. In the fourth week, there was an increase in absorbance, although, it decreased slightly at 400 nm and 450 nm. Therefore, it is improper to compare the absorbance at 350 nm with the metal oxide nanofluids at other concentrations.

For the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluids, the absorbance did not decrease with time at 350 nm. There was no obvious variation in absorbance for the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluid, whereas that for the 3 wt.% to 5 wt.% nanofluids lowered slightly at 400 nm. Among these concentrations, the Al₂O₃ nanofluid with 2 wt.% had the highest absorbance values, indicating that the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluid was the best in terms of absorbance. The decrease in absorbance for the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluid at 1 wt.% was more significant at 400 nm. Therefore, the larger TiO₂ nanoparticles agglomeration phenomenon caused more serious precipitation. The precipitation of TiO₂ nanoparticles seemed to slow down with a small difference between 2 wt.% and 5 wt.%. For the ZnO nanofluid, the absorbance value of 1 wt.% to 5 wt.% reduced with time at 400 nm. The decrease in the absorbance value of the 1 wt.% to 3 wt.% ZnO nanofluids in 1 to 28 days was small while that of 4 wt.% to 5 wt.% decreased greatly.
Thermoelectric Generation and Thermophysical Properties of Metal Oxide Nanofluids

The current and power densities were defined as the current and power generated per unit area of the battery tank, which also considers the influences of temperature and concentration of the metal oxide nanofluids. The area of each electrode under the liquid surface was about 1151.50 mm². There were both positive and negative electrodes in the battery tank, therefore, the total reaction area was about 2303 mm². The current and power densities were used to assess the power generation efficiency of the battery tank. Figure 9 presents the power generation of metal oxide nanofluids. For the TiO₂ nanofluid, it can be seen that the effect of an increase in concentration on the magnitude of power density is not large; as the temperature increased, the increase in power density was about 30%, 21%, 12%, 9%, and 10%. For the ZnO nanofluid, the effect of concentration on power density exhibited a decreasing trend with the increase in temperature. The increase in power density was 20%, 14%, 17%, 7%, and 14%, indicating that the concentration has little effect on the overall power density. As the concentration of the metal oxide nanofluids increased, the power density tended to increase. After the addition of the surfactant, the metal oxide nanofluid reacted with the metal oxide nanoparticles, and a small amount of electrolyte was generated to increase the electrical energy. The oxidation-reduction reaction rate of the battery tank was accelerated, thereby generating more electrical energy. Thus, the increase in ratio in power density decreased with an increase in temperature.

According to the above-mentioned results, 2 wt.% TiO₂, 1 wt.% ZnO, and 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluids were contrasted with each other to assess for better thermophysical properties. Figure 10 shows the thermal conductivities of the metal oxide nanofluids with different nanopowders. The thermal conductivity of 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluid was higher than 0.6 W/(m·K) at 20 °C. When the temperature was raised to 40 °C, the 2 wt.% TiO₂ and 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluids were 0.65 W/(m·K). The overall thermal conductivity of the 2 wt.% TiO₂ nanofluid was better between 25 °C and 40 °C except for a slight decline in thermal conductivity at 20 °C. Figure 11 presents the average nanoparticle sizes of the metal oxide nanofluids with different nanopowders. The trend charts clearly show smaller average sizes of the 2 wt.% TiO₂ nanofluid. The agglomeration increase of nanoparticle sizes was respectively 19 nm of the 2 wt.% TiO₂ nanofluid, 59 nm of the 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluid, and 36 nm of the 2 wt.% Al₂O₃ nanofluid during these 28 days. From the performance test, alumina and zinc oxide nanoparticle sizes aggregation lead to two obvious inferences. First, the surfactants and dispersion technology used in the present study had a better dispersion effect on the TiO₂ particles, and second, was that the nanoparticle sizes of zinc oxide and aluminum oxide were larger during the preparation of nanoparticles resulting in less effective of dispersal of nanoparticles.

Under the influences of time, the precipitation of nanoparticles can be discussed in terms of the changes in the absorbance values. Particle precipitation was affected by factors such as nanoparticle sizes, material specific gravity, nanoparticle...
agglomerations, and surfactant and dispersion technology. Figure 12 demonstrates the trend graphs of the absorbance of the metal oxide nanofluids at 400 nm wavelength. The suspension of 2 wt.% TiO\textsubscript{2} nanofluid was better in the period of 1 to 28 days, with a decrease in absorbance of 0.26. The corresponding decrease in absorbance of the 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluid was 0.29 and that of the 2 wt.% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofluid was 0.28. Figure 13 displays the power densities of the metal oxide nanofluids with different nanopowders. TiO\textsubscript{2}, ZnO, and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofluids were added to the battery tank. The output powers of the battery tank were detected with different metal oxide nanofluids and expressed as the power density. As the fluid temperature increased, there was a concurrent increase in the output power of the battery tank with different metal oxide nanofluids. The output powers of the 2 wt.% TiO\textsubscript{2} nanofluid, 1 wt.% ZnO nanofluid, and 2 wt.% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofluid increased by 68%, 57%, and 107%, respectively, with an increase from 20 °C to 40 °C of fluid temperature. The overall output powers of ZnO and TiO\textsubscript{2} were not much and low, while the overall output power of 2 wt.% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofluid was higher.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the present study, these metal oxide nanofluids were prepared to adjust the weight-percent concentration of the nanopowders and the surfactants at the same time employing the microemulsion ultrasonic dispersion technology. The best suspension stability of the alumina, TiO\textsubscript{2}, and ZnO nanofluids were obtained at 2.0 wt.%, 2.0 wt.%, and 1.0 wt.%, respectively, through four weeks. The viscosity of metal oxide nanofluids was between 1.0 and 3.0 cP with negative dispersibility, and their thermal conductivities and the power generations were raised with an increase in temperature. Finally, the TiO\textsubscript{2} nanofluid had better thermophysical properties and suspension stability, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanofluid had better electrical properties, and TiO\textsubscript{2} nanofluid had the best the overall thermoelectric properties among these three in the thermoelectric generation experiments. The present synthesis method is suitable for fabricating the metal oxide nanofluids at a temperature between 20 °C and 40 °C and a concentration of 1 wt.% to 5 wt.%.

**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area, m\textsuperscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Specific heat, kJ/kg°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity, W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Heat transfer rate, W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weight percent concentration, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs</td>
<td>Absorbance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subscripts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Nanoparticle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nf</td>
<td>Nanofluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bf</td>
<td>Base fluid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greek**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Viscosity, m\textsuperscript{2}/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density, kg/m\textsuperscript{3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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